

# Letter to the Editor: Sequential resonance assignments of the extracellular domain of the human TGF $\beta$ type II receptor in complex with monomeric TGF $\beta$ 3

Udayar Ilangovan, Shashank Deep, Cynthia S. Hinck & Andrew P. Hinck\* Department of Biochemistry, Allied Health Building/Biochemistry 5.206, University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio, MC 7760, 7703 Floyd Curl Drive, San Antonio, TX 78229-3900, U.S.A.

Received 22 September 2003; Accepted 3 November 2003

*Key words:* deuteration, ligand-receptor complex, NMR, TGF, type II receptor, transforming growth factor-beta, TROSY

### **Biological context**

Isoforms of transforming growth factor-beta (TGF $\beta$ 1,  $\beta$ 2, and  $\beta$ 3) are 25 kDa disulfide-linked homodimers that mediate their biological activities by binding and bringing together two related, functionally distinct, single-pass transmembrane receptor kinases, known as the TGF $\beta$  type I and type II receptors (T $\beta$ R1 and T $\beta$ R2, respectively) (Massagué, 1998). The mechanism by which TGF $\beta$  induces the assembly of its signaling receptors is not fully understood, although recently structures of the T $\beta$ R2 extracellular domain (ecT $\beta$ R2) (Boesen et al., 2002; Deep et al., 2003) and the T $\beta$ R2 extracellular domain in complex with dimeric TGF $\beta$ 3 (ecT $\beta$ R2<sub>2</sub>-TGF $\beta$ 3) (Hart et al., 2002) were reported.

The assignments reported here represent the initial step in our efforts to further explore the role that dynamics plays in ecT $\beta$ R2-TGF $\beta$  binding. The data presented includes backbone and sidechain methyl assignments for the ecT $\beta$ R2 component of the ~28 kDa complex formed between ecT $\beta$ R2 (~15 kDa) and a monomeric form of TGF $\beta$ 3 (~13 kDa), as well as the same set of assignments for the uncomplexed form of ecT $\beta$ R2 under the same conditions. Monomeric TGF $\beta$ 3 was used in the present studies, rather than dimeric TGF $\beta$ 3, because NMR spectra of suitable quality could not be obtained with the dimeric complex, which was soluble only at pH values below which the complex disassociates (pH 4.8), or at pH values above which the instrinsic amide exchange rates become too rapid (pH 9.0). The monomeric complexes on the other hand exhibited improved solubility over much of the pH range and yielded high quality NMR spectra at pH 6.6 in the presence of the non-dentauring detergent 3-[(cholamidopropyl) dimethylammonio]-propanesulfonate (CHAPS).

### Methods and results

The ecT<sub>β</sub>R<sub>2</sub> construct used was 122 residues in length and was prepared by E. coli expression and oxidative refolding as previously described (Hinck et al., 2000). Two types of isotope labeled  $ecT\beta R2$ samples were used for the present study. 'Deuterated' samples were prepared by expressing the  $ecT\beta R2$ protein on minimal medium containing <sup>13</sup>C-glucose, <sup>15</sup>N-NH<sub>4</sub>Cl, and 99.9% <sup>2</sup>H<sub>2</sub>O. 'Methyl-protonated' samples were prepared by expressing the  $ecT\beta R2$  protein on minimal medium with <sup>13</sup>C/<sup>2</sup>H-glucose, <sup>15</sup>N-NH<sub>4</sub>Cl,  ${}^{13}$ C-[3,3'- ${}^{2}$ H]  $\alpha$ -ketobutyrate,  ${}^{13}$ C-[3- ${}^{2}$ H]  $\alpha$ ketoisovalerate, and 99.9% <sup>2</sup>H<sub>2</sub>O as described (Goto et al., 1999). Monomeric TGF<sub>β3</sub> used in the present study, herein designated TGFβ3<sub>m</sub>, is 112 residues in length and was also prepared by E. coli expression and oxidative refolding. This was accomplished by first generating a T7-based E. coli expression construct encoding a variant of the 112-residue human TGF<sub>β3</sub> in which the cysteine residue which normally forms the covalent link between the two TGF $\beta$ 3 monomers (C77) has been changed to a serine. The protein was then expressed in E. coli strain BL21(DE3) and refolded in a CHAPS containing buffer at pH 9.5 as described (Cerletti, 2000).

<sup>\*</sup>To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: hinck@uthscsa.edu



*Figure 1.* Assigned 2D <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>15</sup>N TROSY spectra of ecT $\beta$ R2 in complex with TGF $\beta$ 3<sub>m</sub> (panel A) or ecT $\beta$ R2 alone (panel B). Spectra shown were each recorded in the presence of 20 mM CHAPS at pH 6.6 at a <sup>1</sup>H resonance frequency of 700 MHz and 39 °C. Shown in panel C is a composite index indicating the extent of chemical shift differences observed between the free and bound forms of the ecT $\beta$ R2 domain. The composite index shown was calculated based on differences between the observed H<sup>N</sup>, N<sup>H</sup>, C $\alpha$ , C $\beta$ , and C<sup>O</sup> shifts and was weighted for the different chemical shift ranges of the different nuclei using the formalism previously described (Grzesiek et al., 1996).

NMR samples of ecT\betaR2 alone, or ecT\betaR2 in a 1:1 complex with TGF $\beta$ 3<sub>m</sub>, were prepared by reconstituting lyophilized samples of isotope labeled ecT\betaR2 with buffer alone (25 mM Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, 20 mM CHAPS, 5% <sup>2</sup>H<sub>2</sub>O at pH 6.6) or buffer containing unlabeled TGF $\beta$ 3<sub>m</sub>. The final concentrations of the NMR samples were 0.1 mM for  $ecT\beta R2$  alone, and 0.7–0.9 mM for the  $ecT\beta R2$ -TGF $\beta 3_m$  complex. The sequential backbone assignments of the free and bound forms of the  $ecT\beta R2$  domain were then made at a temperature of 39 °C using 'deuterated' samples and TROSY-based triple-resonance HNCA, HN(CO)CA, HNCACB, HN(CO)CACB, HN(CA)CO, and HNCO sequences (Pervushin et al., 1997; Rance et al., 1999) as implemented on a Bruker 700 MHz NMR spectrometer. Sidechain methyl assignments were made using 'methyl protonated' samples and TROSY-based C(CO)NH and H(CCO)NH sequences.

## Extent of assignments and data deposition

The strategy described above resulted in the assignment of the backbone atoms of 112 of the 122 amino acid residues and 26 of the 27 sidechain methyl groups (Ile  $\delta$ 1, Leu  $\delta$ 1/ $\delta$ 2, and Val  $\gamma$ 1/ $\gamma$ 2) of both the uncomplexed and complexed forms of ecT $\beta$ R2.

The resonances unassigned in the free and bound states were found to be nearly identical to one another, as well as to those previously unassigned in uncomplexed ecT $\beta$ R2 at pH 5.5 (Hinck et al., 2000). The missing resonances are presumed to be missing due to chemical or conformational exchange since all of the amides that yielded detectable correlations in the triple-resonance experiments were assigned. The largest chemical shift changes between the complexed and uncomplexed forms of ecTBR2 exhibit a 1:1 correspondence with the interfacial contacts identified in the ecTBR22-TGFB3 crystal structure (Figure 1, panel C). This indicates that the monomeric and dimeric forms of TGF<sub>β3</sub> bind ecT<sub>βR2</sub> in the same overall manner, and that the system and the assignments in hand will enable a detailed analysis of spin relaxation rates so as to further characterize the changes in dynamics which occur upon  $ecT\beta R2$ -TGFβ3 binding. The assignments for the uncomplexed and complexed forms of  $ecT\beta R2$  have been deposited with BioMagResBank under accession codes 5954 and 5953, respectively.

# Acknowledgements

This study was supported by grants provided by the NIH (GM58670 and RR13879 to A.P.H) and the Robert A. Welch Foundation (AQ1499 to A.P.H.). This study made use of the macromolecular structure shared resource of the San Antonio Cancer Institute supported by NIH CA54174.

### References

- Boesen, C.C., Radaev, S., Motyka, S.A., Patamawenu, A. and Sun, P.D. (2002) *Structure*, **10**, 913–919.
- Cerletti, N. (2000) U.S. Patent 6,057,430.
- Deep, S., Walker, 3rd, K.P., Shu, Z. and Hinck, A.P. (2003) *Biochemistry*, 42, 10126–10139.
- Goto, N.K., Gardner, K.H., Mueller, G.A., Willis, R.C. and Kay, L.E. (1999) J. Biomol. NMR, 13, 369–74.
- Grzesiek, S., Bax, A., Clore, G.M., Gronenborn, A.M., Hu, J.S., Kaufman, J., Palmer, I., Stahl, S.J. and Wingfield, P.T. (1996) *Nat. Struct. Biol.*, 3, 340–345.
- Hart, P.J., Deep, S., Taylor, A.B., Shu, Z., Hinck, C.S. and Hinck, A.P. (2002) Nat. Struct. Biol., 9, 203–208.
- Hinck, A.P., Walker, 3rd, K.P., Martin, N.R., Deep, S., Hinck, C.S. and Freedberg, D.I. (2000) J. Biomol. NMR, 18, 369–370.
- Massagué, J. (1998) Annu. Rev. Biochem., 67, 753-791.
- Pervushin, K., Riek, R., Wider, G. and Wüthrich, K. (1997) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 94, 12366–71.
- Rance, M., Loria, J.P. and Palmer, III, A.G. (1999) *J. Magn. Reson.*, **136**, 92–101.